

**June 16, 2006**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**TABLE 1a. ADMISSION DATA for FY06 (October 1, 2005 - May 23, 2006)**

VISN	SITE	Number of Admissions	Homeless When Last Living in the Community %	Usually Unemployed Past 3 Years %	Usually Employed Full-Time Past 3 Years %	Competitively Employed Past 30 Days %	Using Alcohol Last 30 Days in the Comm. %	Using Drugs Last 30 Days in the Comm. %	Using Etoh or Drugs Last 30 Days in the Comm. %	Mean ASI ETOH at Admission	Mean ASI Drug at Admission	Mean ASI Psychiatric at Admission	Mean GAF Score at Admission
1	(a) Bedford 518	31	87.1%	32.3%	41.9%	0.0%	77.4%	41.9%	83.9%	0.29	0.13	0.21	52
1	(b) Boston 523	16	62.5%	25.0%	18.8%	6.3%	62.5%	37.5%	75.0%	0.46	0.24	0.04	48
1	(c) Boston Women 523W	5	60.0%	40.0%	0.0%	20.0%	20.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.05	0.05	0.45	40
1	(b) Northampton 631	8	37.5%	25.0%	62.5%	0.0%	87.5%	57.1%	87.5%	0.35	0.10	0.34	41
2	(a) Albany 528A8	8	87.5%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	50.0%	57.1%	71.4%	0.21	0.20	0.10	51
3	(a) Lyons 561A4	7	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	28.6%	20.0%	50.0%	0.06	0.02	0.06	37
4	(b) Butler 529	11	27.3%	9.1%	45.5%	0.0%	72.7%	45.5%	100.0%	0.19	0.12	0.08	54
4	(a)(b) Lebanon 595	18	44.4%	0.0%	61.1%	0.0%	83.3%	66.7%	100.0%	0.26	0.14	0.14	64
4	(b) Pittsburgh 646A5	15	40.0%	66.7%	13.3%	0.0%	66.7%	73.3%	86.7%	0.20	0.17	0.14	53
5	(d) Perry Point 512A5	23	47.8%	8.7%	52.2%	95.7%	78.3%	81.3%	95.2%	0.27	0.13	0.13	48
5	(a) Washington, DC 688	10	60.0%	20.0%	50.0%	0.0%	70.0%	60.0%	80.0%	0.14	0.16	0.18	66
6	(b) Hampton 590	23	30.4%	0.0%	73.9%	0.0%	52.2%	34.8%	65.2%	0.18	0.12	0.22	48
7	(a) Atlanta 508	3	100.0%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%	50.0%	66.7%	0.11	0.06	0.27	67
7	(a) Birmingham 521	4	100.0%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	75.0%	0.19	0.14	0.11	61
7	(d) Tuskegee 619A4	9	44.4%	11.1%	66.7%	0.0%	88.9%	55.6%	88.9%	0.33	0.11	0.29	59
7	(d) Tuscaloosa 679	14	35.7%	7.1%	35.7%	7.1%	50.0%	35.7%	57.1%	0.17	0.08	0.26	52
8	(b) Gainesville 573	7	42.9%	0.0%	42.9%	0.0%	42.9%	57.1%	71.4%	0.30	0.19	0.30	64
10	(b) Cleveland 541	30	63.3%	3.3%	63.3%	0.0%	76.7%	58.6%	86.7%	0.19	0.12	0.03	45
11	(b) Battle Creek 515	10	20.0%	30.0%	30.0%	0.0%	100.0%	77.8%	100.0%	0.47	0.26	0.30	55
11	(b) Danville 550	4	75.0%	25.0%	75.0%	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	75.0%	0.12	0.05	0.17	55
12	(b) North Chicago 556	1	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.35	0.34	0.00	40
12	(d) Tomah 676	11	9.1%	0.0%	81.8%	9.1%	72.7%	36.4%	72.7%	0.30	0.09	0.24	54
12	(a) Milwaukee 695	4	75.0%	25.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.18	0.07	0.33	54
15	(b) Kansas City 589	0											
15	(b) Topeka 589A5	10	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%	20.0%	80.0%	30.0%	80.0%	0.47	0.15	0.32	53
16	(b) Little Rock 598	19	84.2%	10.5%	63.2%	5.3%	68.4%	84.2%	94.7%	0.22	0.17	0.17	58
16	(a) Oklahoma City 635	18	44.4%	11.1%	38.9%	5.6%	61.1%	64.7%	82.4%	0.19	0.25	0.21	49
17	(a) Dallas 549	10	50.0%	30.0%	40.0%	0.0%	20.0%	20.0%	30.0%	0.02	0.03	0.25	53
17	(a) Bonham 549A4	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.31	0.28	0.00	50
20	(b) American Lake 663A4	11	63.6%	0.0%	54.5%	18.2%	54.5%	36.4%	63.6%	0.21	0.12	0.12	55
21	(b) Palo Alto 640	7	85.7%	14.3%	42.9%	0.0%	57.1%	0.0%	57.1%	0.15	0.00	0.01	67
21	(a) San Francisco 662	3	66.7%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.14	0.04	0.16	60
23	(b) Ft. Meade 568	6	33.3%	0.0%	83.3%	16.7%	66.7%	20.0%	83.3%	0.23	0.03	0.17	62
23	(c) Hot Springs 568A4	27	33.3%	14.8%	48.1%	3.8%	74.1%	11.5%	74.1%	0.23	0.01	0.16	57
<b>Total</b>		<b>384</b>											
<b>Veteran Average (for GAF)</b>													<b>53</b>
<b>Site Average</b>		<b>11.3</b>	<b>59.1%</b>	<b>18.0%</b>	<b>48.5%</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>62.0%</b>	<b>47.4%</b>	<b>73.6%</b>	<b>0.23</b>	<b>0.13</b>	<b>0.18</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>		<b>8.2</b>	<b>26.2%</b>	<b>22.2%</b>	<b>25.8%</b>	<b>19.2%</b>	<b>23.9%</b>	<b>25.6%</b>	<b>23.1%</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>0.08</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>8</b>

(a) Sites targeting homeless mentally ill veterans. Practice standards established by VHA for the percent of veterans admitted who are homeless is set at 75%.

(b) Sites targeting veterans with substance abuse problems.

(c) Sites targeting veterans with PTSD.

(d) Sites targeting veterans with general psychiatric problems.

**June 16, 2006**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**TABLE 1b. ADMISSION DATA for FY06 (October 1, 2005 - May 23, 2006)**

<b>VISN</b>	<b>SITE</b>	<b>Number of Admissions</b>	<b>Substance Abuse Diagnosis %</b>	<b>Any Non-Substance Abuse Psych Diagnosis %</b>	<b>Serious Psychiatric Diagnosis † %</b>	<b>Dually Diagnosed †† %</b>	<b>Schizophrenia Diagnosis %</b>	<b>Psychosis Diagnosis (other than schizophrenia) %</b>	<b>Currently on Probation/ Parole %</b>	<b>Any Incarcerations %</b>
1	(a) Bedford 518	31	93.5%	77.4%	77.4%	71.0%	0.0%	0.0%	19.4%	71.0%
1	(b) Boston 523	16	100.0%	37.5%	33.3%	31.3%	0.0%	0.0%	31.3%	68.8%
1	(c) Boston Women 523W	5	60.0%	100.0%	100.0%	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%
1	(b) Northampton 631	8	100.0%	62.5%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	62.5%
2	(a) Albany 528A8	8	87.5%	50.0%	50.0%	37.5%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	87.5%
3	(a) Lyons 561A4	7	100.0%	14.3%	14.3%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	57.1%
4	(b) Butler 529	11	100.0%	90.9%	54.5%	54.5%	0.0%	0.0%	27.3%	54.5%
4	(a)(b) Lebanon 595	18	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	77.8%
4	(b) Pittsburgh 646A5	15	100.0%	40.0%	40.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	26.7%	86.7%
5	(d) Perry Point 512A5	23	91.3%	87.0%	78.3%	69.6%	0.0%	8.7%	8.7%	73.9%
5	(a) Washington, DC 688	10	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
6	(b) Hampton 590	23	95.5%	47.8%	42.9%	34.8%	4.5%	0.0%	21.7%	87.0%
7	(a) Atlanta 508	3	100.0%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%
7	(a) Birmingham 521	4	100.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	75.0%
7	(d) Tuskegee 619A4	9	100.0%	77.8%	55.6%	55.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%
7	(d) Tuscaloosa 679	14	85.7%	92.9%	92.9%	78.6%	7.1%	0.0%	7.1%	85.7%
8	(b) Gainesville 573	7	100.0%	42.9%	42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
10	(b) Cleveland 541	30	100.0%	50.0%	30.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.3%	83.3%
11	(b) Battle Creek 515	10	90.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	80.0%
11	(b) Danville 550	4	100.0%	100.0%	75.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	100.0%
12	(b) North Chicago 556	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
12	(d) Tomah 676	11	100.0%	72.7%	72.7%	72.7%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	90.9%
12	(a) Milwaukee 695	4	100.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	75.0%
15	(b) Kansas City 589	0								
15	(b) Topeka 589A5	10	100.0%	80.0%	80.0%	80.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	70.0%
16	(b) Little Rock 598	19	100.0%	36.8%	36.8%	36.8%	0.0%	0.0%	15.8%	78.9%
16	(a) Oklahoma City 635	18	83.3%	66.7%	61.1%	44.4%	0.0%	5.6%	27.8%	88.9%
17	(a) Dallas 549	10	90.0%	70.0%	70.0%	60.0%	30.0%	0.0%	10.0%	70.0%
17	(a) Bonham 549A4	1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
20	(b) American Lake 663A4	11	81.8%	72.7%	72.7%	54.5%	0.0%	0.0%	27.3%	63.6%
21	(b) Palo Alto 640	7	100.0%	100.0%	14.3%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	42.9%	100.0%
21	(a) San Francisco 662	3	100.0%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
23	(b) Ft. Meade 568	6	100.0%	33.3%	40.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	83.3%
23	(c) Hot Springs 568A4	27	100.0%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	22.2%	85.2%
<b>Total</b>		<b>384</b>								
<b>Site Average</b>		<b>11.3</b>	<b>95.7%</b>	<b>60.8%</b>	<b>54.2%</b>	<b>49.8%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>15.6%</b>	<b>74.2%</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>		<b>8.2</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>26.4%</b>	<b>24.8%</b>	<b>22.2%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>23.1%</b>

(a) Sites targeting homeless mentally ill veterans. Practice standards established by VHA for the percent o veterans admitted who are homeless is set at 75%.

(b) Sites targeting veterans with substance abuse problems.

(c) Sites targeting veterans with PTSD.

(d) Sites targeting veterans with general psychiatric problems.

† Serious psychiatric diagnosis is defined as having a psychiatric diagnosis that falls into one of the following categories: schizophrenia; other psychotic disorder; mood disorder, and PTSD.

†† Dually diagnosed is defined as having a substance abuse disorder and a serious mental illness.

**June 16, 2006**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**Table 2. Community-Oriented Programs Environment Scale (COPEs) FY06 (October 1, 2005- May 23, 2006)<sup>†</sup>**

VISN		SITE	(N)	SUBSCALE MEANS									CINDEX††	
				Relationship Dimensions			Personal Growth Dimensions				System Maintenance Dimensions			
				Involvement	Support	Spontaneity	Autonomy	Practical Orientation	Personal Problem Orientation	Anger and Aggression	Order and Organization	Program Clarity		Staff Control
1	Bedford 518	27	3.44	3.30	1.85	2.37	3.04	1.89	1.41	3.00	3.26	3.11	2.81	
1	Boston 523	9	3.33	3.56	2.33	1.78	3.22	1.78	1.33	3.78	3.67	3.00	2.94	
1	Boston Women 523W	5	3.00	3.40	2.60	1.40	2.40	1.60	1.20	2.80	3.40	3.00	2.62	
1	Northampton 631	6	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	0.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.78	
2	Albany 528A8	9	3.33	3.22	1.56	1.44	3.00	2.22	2.00	2.89	3.67	3.22	2.73	
3	Lyons 561A4	5	3.40	2.80	2.00	2.40	3.40	2.00	0.00	3.80	3.80	2.60	2.91	
4	Butler 529	10	3.60	3.40	1.80	1.90	3.30	2.00	0.90	3.50	3.90	3.20	2.96	
4	Lebanon 595	17	3.00	3.24	1.53	1.41	3.29	2.29	1.53	3.18	3.35	2.88	2.69	
4	Pittsburgh 646A5	11	4.00	3.82	1.91	1.82	3.09	2.64	1.55	4.00	3.82	3.55	3.18	
5	Perry Point 512A5	13	3.00	3.08	1.62	1.85	2.92	2.23	1.23	3.31	3.77	3.15	2.77	
5	Washington, DC 688	8	3.25	3.50	2.38	2.38	3.25	2.50	1.50	3.38	3.50	3.38	3.06	
6	Hampton 590	21	3.33	3.48	1.43	2.43	3.76	2.43	1.95	3.81	3.67	3.24	3.06	
7	Atlanta 508	7	3.71	3.14	2.14	2.14	2.86	2.14	1.29	3.86	3.57	3.00	2.95	
7	Birmingham 521	2	3.00	4.00	3.00	2.50	4.00	2.50	0.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	3.39	
7	Tuskegee 619A4	7	3.14	3.00	0.86	1.29	3.43	1.57	1.71	3.71	3.57	3.57	2.68	
7	Tuscaloosa 679	5	2.80	3.40	1.40	2.20	3.60	1.60	1.20	4.00	4.00	3.40	2.93	
8	Gainesville 573	8	3.00	3.25	2.63	1.50	3.25	2.50	0.50	3.50	3.63	2.38	2.85	
10	Cleveland 541	28	3.18	3.07	1.86	1.75	3.14	1.46	0.93	3.61	3.86	3.32	2.81	
11	Battle Creek 515	10	3.30	3.40	1.90	2.20	3.50	2.20	1.10	3.70	3.90	3.10	3.02	
11	Danville 550	5	3.60	3.20	0.60	1.40	4.00	2.60	2.00	3.60	4.00	3.20	2.91	
12	North Chicago 556	4	4.00	3.75	1.75	2.25	3.00	3.25	2.75	3.50	3.50	4.00	3.22	
12	Tomah 676	7	3.29	3.57	1.43	2.86	3.57	1.57	1.00	4.00	3.86	3.14	3.03	
12	Milwaukee 695	3	3.33	3.00	0.33	2.00	2.00	2.33	1.00	3.67	4.00	3.67	2.70	
15	Kansas City 589	0												
15	Topeka 589A5	9	2.89	2.78	2.22	1.67	2.11	1.89	1.33	3.33	3.11	3.00	2.56	
16	Little Rock 598	14	3.64	3.29	1.93	2.07	3.43	2.14	1.36	3.93	3.71	3.50	3.07	
16	Oklahoma City 635	14	3.21	3.00	2.00	1.86	2.86	1.07	1.50	3.21	3.64	2.93	2.64	
17	Dallas 549	9	3.11	2.78	1.89	2.33	3.00	2.11	2.11	3.33	3.22	3.22	2.78	
17	Bonham 549A4	1	4.00	4.00	3.00	2.00	4.00	3.00	0.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.44	
20	American Lake 663A4	6	3.50	3.33	2.50	2.83	1.83	2.17	0.67	3.17	3.33	2.67	2.81	
21	Palo Alto 640	7	3.57	3.86	2.14	2.00	4.00	2.86	1.57	4.00	3.57	3.57	3.29	
21	San Francisco 662	3	3.67	2.67	2.33	3.67	3.33	2.00	1.00	3.67	3.67	2.67	3.07	
23	Ft. Meade 568	5	3.60	3.40	2.20	2.20	3.40	3.20	0.60	3.40	4.00	2.80	3.13	
23	Hot Springs 568A4	19	2.74	2.84	1.44	1.47	2.84	1.72	1.47	3.26	3.05	3.05	2.49	
Total			314											
Site Average				3.36	3.32	1.96	2.07	3.21	2.23	1.20	3.57	3.67	3.15	2.95
Site S.D.				0.35	0.37	0.70	0.53	0.56	0.59	0.64	0.35	0.28	0.34	0.27
American Normative Sample				2.71	2.76	2.11	1.97	2.26	1.82	1.66	2.97	3.05	2.26	

<sup>†</sup> COPEs subscales scores range 0-4.

<sup>††</sup> Cindex is the mean of 9 of the 10 COPEs subscales. The anger & aggression subscale has been omitted

June 16, 2006  
COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY  
TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM

**Table 3. Work Environment Scale (WES) Data FY06 (October 1, 2005 - May 23, 2006)†**

VISN			SITE	(N)	SUBSCALE MEANS								WINDEX††		
					Relationship Dimensions			Personal Growth Dimensions			System Maintenance and System Change Dimensions				
					Involvement	Peer Cohesion	Supervisor Support	Autonomy	Task Orientation	Work Pressure	Clarity	Control		Innovation	Physical Comfort
1	Bedford 518	27	5.11	5.33	5.70	4.41	7.22	4.15	6.04	6.70	3.44	5.48	5.49		
1	Boston 523	9	4.78	5.33	6.33	4.44	5.67	2.11	6.78	6.44	2.44	5.22	5.27		
1	Boston Women 523W	5	6.80	5.00	6.20	5.00	7.80	4.40	7.40	7.60	4.40	6.00	6.24		
1	Northampton 631	6	6.67	6.33	6.33	6.67	7.00	1.00	7.33	7.50	7.17	7.17	6.91		
2	Albany 528A8	9	5.56	5.67	5.00	5.44	6.33	3.56	6.11	7.44	4.00	6.56	5.79		
3	Lyons 561A4	5	6.40	6.20	6.80	6.40	7.80	3.40	8.00	7.60	5.20	6.80	6.80		
4	Butler 529	10	7.20	6.70	6.70	6.10	7.60	2.40	7.40	7.00	4.60	7.00	6.70		
4	Lebanon 595	17	5.71	5.12	5.12	5.12	7.29	3.53	6.71	7.35	2.71	5.53	5.63		
4	Pittsburgh 646A5	11	7.91	7.09	7.00	6.55	8.18	3.18	8.09	8.09	4.91	8.00	7.31		
5	Perry Point 512A5	13	5.77	5.08	6.08	5.38	7.69	4.85	7.46	6.92	4.23	4.92	5.95		
5	Washington, DC 688	8	5.88	5.75	6.88	6.50	7.63	3.75	7.50	6.75	2.75	5.75	6.15		
6	Hampton 590	21	7.10	5.57	6.76	5.76	7.10	2.71	7.43	6.95	4.81	6.57	6.45		
7	Atlanta 508	7	6.29	5.57	5.14	4.71	7.43	2.57	7.29	7.86	3.43	7.29	6.11		
7	Birmingham 521	2	7.50	6.00	5.50	4.50	7.50	5.00	7.50	7.00	3.00	8.00	6.28		
7	Tuskegee 619A4	7	6.71	5.86	6.29	7.00	7.86	1.86	8.00	7.57	5.29	7.00	6.84		
7	Tuscaloosa 679	5	4.80	3.80	6.40	5.80	5.60	2.20	8.20	7.20	4.00	6.40	5.80		
8	Gainesville 573	8	6.25	6.00	6.50	4.75	6.50	1.88	8.00	7.00	2.38	5.63	5.89		
10	Cleveland 541	28	5.29	5.71	5.61	5.32	6.75	3.07	6.64	6.29	3.79	5.14	5.62		
11	Battle Creek 515	10	5.80	7.10	7.10	6.10	7.10	2.20	7.50	7.20	4.10	5.50	6.39		
11	Danville 550	5	6.60	5.40	7.40	6.00	7.80	1.60	8.20	7.20	4.80	7.00	6.71		
12	North Chicago 556	4	7.00	6.75	6.50	5.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	8.25	1.25	7.75	6.50		
12	Tomah 676	7	5.29	5.29	7.86	6.29	7.86	2.14	8.14	7.14	3.86	7.43	6.57		
12	Milwaukee 695	3	5.33	5.33	6.33	5.33	7.33	3.00	7.00	5.00	3.67	5.67	5.67		
15	Kansas City 589	0													
15	Topeka 589A5	9	4.89	4.44	5.11	5.11	6.44	3.22	6.11	5.67	3.22	6.44	5.27		
16	Little Rock 598	14	5.79	5.93	6.14	5.36	7.00	2.93	7.57	6.57	4.00	6.79	6.13		
16	Oklahoma City 635	14	5.86	5.29	6.14	5.57	6.93	2.64	6.93	6.86	3.57	6.71	5.98		
17	Dallas 549	9	4.78	4.33	5.44	5.22	7.11	3.89	6.44	7.44	2.67	6.33	5.53		
17	Bonham 549A4	1	9.00	9.00	7.00	9.00	9.00	2.00	9.00	8.00	6.00	8.00	8.22		
20	American Lake 663A4	6	6.17	5.17	5.67	5.83	8.00	4.33	6.33	6.17	3.17	6.17	5.85		
21	Palo Alto 640	7	6.29	6.14	6.29	5.86	7.14	3.71	7.29	7.57	4.29	7.43	6.48		
21	San Francisco 662	3	6.00	7.67	6.33	4.67	7.67	3.67	7.33	7.67	3.00	6.33	6.30		
23	Ft. Meade 568	5	8.00	7.20	7.40	6.80	8.80	4.80	8.40	8.00	4.40	8.00	7.44		
23	Hot Springs 568A4	19	6.11	5.47	6.37	5.63	6.21	2.68	7.32	6.95	3.53	6.16	5.97		
Total			314												
Site Average			6.20	5.84	6.29	5.69	7.31	3.10	7.38	7.12	3.88	6.55	6.25		
Site S.D.			1.00	1.02	0.71	0.93	0.76	1.01	0.72	0.69	1.14	0.90	0.65		
Normative Sample															
General Work Setting (N=1,446 employees)			5.95	5.70	5.68	5.54	5.90	4.40	5.60	4.88	4.42	4.89			

† WES sub:

†† Windex is the mean of 9 of the 10 WES subscales. The work pressure subscale has been omitted.

**June 16, 2006**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**TABLE 4a. DISCHARGE DATA for FY06 (October 1, 2005 - May 23, 2006)**

VISN	SITE	Number of Discharges†	Mean Length of Stay	Avg Wkly Rent Paid	Avg CWT Wkly Earnings	Avg Comp Emp Wkly Earnings	Total Avg Wkly Earnings††	Mutual Agreed D/C %	Failure to Comply w/ Prog. Req. %	Left Before Planned Discharge %
1	Bedford 518	40	205.3	\$55.58	\$231.50	\$13.59	\$245.09	57.5%	22.5%	12.5%
1	Boston 523	16	287.2	\$72.91	\$256.04	\$43.04	\$299.08	62.5%	31.3%	6.3%
1	Boston Women 523W	1	290.0	\$89.43	\$234.33	\$0.00	\$234.33	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
1	Northampton 631	7	202.4	\$54.23	\$199.69	\$76.88	\$276.57	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%
2	Albany 528A8	10	197.5	\$53.58	\$174.90	\$41.49	\$216.39	50.0%	20.0%	20.0%
3	Lyons 561A4	7	344.1	\$55.08	\$155.38	\$119.19	\$274.57	57.1%	14.3%	28.6%
4	Butler 529	5	104.6	\$33.79	\$222.21	\$0.00	\$222.21	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%
4	Lebanon 595	18	136.3	\$40.02	\$142.01	\$126.02	\$268.03	77.8%	0.0%	16.7%
4	Pittsburgh 646A5	11	296.5	\$36.43	\$163.90	\$14.02	\$177.93	81.8%	18.2%	0.0%
5	Perry Point 512A5	25	169.9	\$42.97	\$37.00	\$237.54	\$274.54	72.0%	16.0%	12.0%
5	Washington, DC 688	0								
6	Hampton 590	21	157.9	\$42.48	\$153.81	\$51.55	\$198.04	71.4%	9.5%	14.3%
7	Atlanta 508	3	130.7	\$41.18	\$110.34	\$24.62	\$134.96	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
7	Birmingham 521	2	306.0	\$29.69	\$287.38	\$0.00	\$287.38	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
7	Tuskegee 619A4	2	38.0	\$113.39	\$259.24	\$0.00	\$259.24	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
7	Tuscaloosa 679	10	116.6	\$20.08	\$149.37	\$11.98	\$161.35	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%
8	Gainesville 573	9	146.8	\$65.04	\$193.01	\$17.66	\$210.67	77.8%	11.1%	11.1%
10	Cleveland 541	21	111.8	\$52.15	\$225.20	\$0.00	\$225.20	42.9%	19.0%	28.6%
11	Battle Creek 515	6	98.3	\$43.65	\$161.67	\$20.29	\$181.96	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
11	Danville 550	4	111.3	\$34.62	\$243.95	\$9.33	\$253.29	0.0%	25.0%	25.0%
12	North Chicago 556	1	160.0	\$45.28	\$112.53	\$113.44	\$225.97	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
12	Tomah 676	11	192.5	\$55.56	\$166.07	\$57.97	\$224.04	63.6%	18.2%	18.2%
12	Milwaukee 695	2	238.0	\$70.19	\$271.23	\$41.35	\$312.58	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%
15	Kansas City 589	0								
15	Topeka 589A5	9	259.7	\$49.63	\$193.91	\$0.00	\$193.91	77.8%	11.1%	11.1%
16	Little Rock 598	20	210.4	\$60.12	\$233.90	\$15.07	\$248.97	50.0%	30.0%	20.0%
16	Oklahoma City 635	17	166.8	\$42.85	\$161.50	\$36.27	\$197.77	47.1%	17.6%	29.4%
17	Dallas 549	12	218.9	\$39.19	\$204.66	\$2.10	\$206.76	41.7%	41.7%	16.7%
17	Bonham 549A4	2	76.0	\$51.21	\$211.50	\$0.00	\$211.50	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
20	American Lake 663A4	18	171.1	\$42.60	\$71.77	\$107.33	\$179.10	55.6%	38.9%	0.0%
21	Palo Alto 640	5	273.4	\$60.43	\$316.00	\$58.68	\$374.68	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%
21	San Francisco 662	4	282.0	\$31.16	\$102.23	\$104.38	\$206.61	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
23	Ft. Meade 568	7	218.4	\$44.44	\$114.36	\$174.99	\$273.01	85.7%	14.3%	0.0%
23	Hot Springs 568A4	24	71.2	\$38.69	\$133.15	\$46.97	\$180.12	62.5%	16.7%	20.8%
<b>Total</b>		<b>350</b>								
<b>Site Average</b>		<b>10.3</b>	<b>187.2</b>	<b>\$50.24</b>	<b>\$184.18</b>	<b>\$48.93</b>	<b>\$232.37</b>	<b>56.2%</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>19.9%</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>		<b>9.1</b>	<b>77.9</b>	<b>\$18.08</b>	<b>\$63.14</b>	<b>\$57.40</b>	<b>\$49.65</b>	<b>26.2%</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	<b>24.4%</b>

†This table only includes 1st discharges from your program.

††If there are errors/omissions for questions #17-17b or #18-18b on the discharge summary the "Total Avg Wkly Earnings" will not equal the sum of "Avg CWT Wkly Earnings" and "Avg Comp Emp Wkly Earnings."

**June 16, 2006**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**TABLE 4b. DISCHARGE DATA for FY06 (October 1, 2005 - May 23, 2006)**

VISN	SITE	Number of Discharges †	Housed at D/C %	In Residential Tx at D/C†† %	Hospitalized at D/C %	Institutionalized at D/C††† %	Competitive Emp. at D/C %	In CWT at D/C %	Unem- ployed at D/C %	Student or Vocational Training at D/C %	Retired or Disabled at D/C %	Mutually Agreed D/C, Housed & Comp. Emp at D/C %	Mean GAF Score At D/C
1	Bedford 518	40	77.5%	7.5%	0.0%	10.0%	12.5%	43.6%	32.5%	10.0%	5.0%	12.5%	58
1	Boston 523	16	81.3%	0.0%	6.3%	6.3%	43.8%	25.0%	31.3%	0.0%	0.0%	37.5%	55
1	Boston Women 523W	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	71
1	Northampton 631	7	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	50
2	Albany 528A8	10	80.0%	10.0%	0.0%	10.0%	50.0%	20.0%	30.0%	10.0%	0.0%	40.0%	53
3	Lyons 561A4	7	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	71.4%	0.0%	14.3%	14.3%	0.0%	28.6%	56
4	Butler 529	5	60.0%	20.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	80.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	54
4	Lebanon 595	18	83.3%	11.1%	5.6%	16.7%	77.8%	11.1%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	69
4	Pittsburgh 646A5	11	90.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	90.9%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	81.8%	64
5	Perry Point 512A5	25	88.0%	4.0%	0.0%	4.0%	68.0%	4.0%	8.0%	4.0%	16.0%	52.0%	51
5	Washington, DC 688	0											
6	Hampton 590	21	85.7%	9.5%	0.0%	9.5%	90.5%	0.0%	9.5%	0.0%	0.0%	61.9%	53
7	Atlanta 508	3	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	58
7	Birmingham 521	2	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	66
7	Tuskegee 619A4	2	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60
7	Tuscaloosa 679	10	50.0%	0.0%	10.0%	10.0%	40.0%	0.0%	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	30.0%	61
8	Gainesville 573	9	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	44.4%	33.3%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	33.3%	76
10	Cleveland 541	21	81.0%	9.5%	9.5%	19.0%	28.6%	4.8%	52.4%	4.8%	4.8%	19.0%	63
11	Battle Creek 515	6	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%	16.7%	55
11	Danville 550	4	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%	75.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	53
12	North Chicago 556	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40
12	Tomah 676	11	90.9%	9.1%	0.0%	9.1%	18.2%	27.3%	45.5%	18.2%	18.2%	9.1%	63
12	Milwaukee 695	2	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	64
15	Kansas City 589	0											
15	Topeka 589A5	9	88.9%	11.1%	0.0%	11.1%	55.6%	0.0%	44.4%	0.0%	0.0%	44.4%	63
16	Little Rock 598	20	80.0%	5.0%	0.0%	5.0%	55.0%	10.0%	20.0%	10.0%	0.0%	30.0%	63
16	Oklahoma City 635	17	70.6%	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	41.2%	5.9%	58.8%	11.8%	5.9%	41.2%	57
17	Dallas 549	12	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	53
17	Bonham 549A4	2	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	65
20	American Lake 663A4	18	77.8%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	55.6%	0.0%	44.4%	0.0%	5.6%	44.4%	61
21	Palo Alto 640	5	80.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	73
21	San Francisco 662	4	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	64
23	Ft. Meade 568	7	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	71.4%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	28.6%	71.4%	56
23	Hot Springs 568A4	24	75.0%	16.7%	0.0%	20.8%	16.7%	29.2%	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	12.5%	61
<b>Total</b>		<b>350</b>											
<b>Veteran Average (for GAF)</b>													<b>59</b>
<b>Site Average</b>		<b>10.3</b>	<b>78.1%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>47.1%</b>	<b>15.2%</b>	<b>28.9%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>33.4%</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>		<b>9.1</b>	<b>17.7%</b>	<b>11.0%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	<b>14.8%</b>	<b>29.9%</b>	<b>18.1%</b>	<b>26.8%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>18.7%</b>	<b>25.9%</b>	<b>7</b>

†This table only includes 1st discharges from your program.

††Residential tx includes halfway house/transitional living programs and domiciliaries

†††Institutionalized includes hospital, halfway house/transitional living program and prison/jail.

**June 16, 2006**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**TABLE 5. THREE-MONTH FOLLOW UP DATA FOR FY06 (October 1, 2005 - May 23, 2006)**

VISN	SITE	Number of 3-Month Follow-ups Interviewed	Percent of 3-month follow-up interviews completed %	Etoh and Drug Free Past 3 Months %	Housed Past 90 Days† %	Mean Nights Housed Past 90 Days	Currently in Competitive Employment %	Sober, Housed, and in Competitive Employment Past 3 Months %	Currently in CWT %	Sober, Housed, and in Competitive Employment or in CWT Past 3 Months %	Mean Total Income Past 30 Days	Mean GAF Score at 3-month Follow-up
1	Bedford 518	17	22.7%	94.1%	88.2%	79.4	35.3%	23.5%	47.1%	58.8%	\$1,475.35	61
1	Boston 523	14	81.3%	92.9%	85.7%	86.2	42.9%	42.9%	35.7%	71.4%	\$1,917.86	58
1	Boston Women 523W	2	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	30.0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	\$571.50	60
1	Northampton 631	0	0.0%									
2	Albany 528A8	9	80.0%	77.8%	66.7%	72.2	55.6%	55.6%	33.3%	66.7%	\$861.89	56
3	Lyons 561A4	4	50.0%	75.0%	100.0%	90.0	75.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	\$1,443.50	42
4	Butler 529	2	33.3%	100.0%	100.0%	85.5	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	\$428.00	65
4	Lebanon 595	6	25.0%	83.3%	33.3%	60.0	66.7%	16.7%	0.0%	16.7%	\$1,175.83	65
4	Pittsburgh 646A5	6	33.3%	83.3%	83.3%	80.0	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	66.7%	\$1,405.67	66
5	Perry Point 512A5	0	0.0%									
6	Hampton 590	12	16.0%	100.0%	83.3%	75.0	91.7%	75.0%	0.0%	75.0%	\$1,249.17	54
7	Atlanta 508	0	0.0%									
7	Birmingham 521	0	0.0%									
7	Tuscaloosa 679	3	20.0%	66.7%	100.0%	90.0	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	66.7%	\$926.67	72
8	Gainesville 573	5	50.0%	60.0%	60.0%	58.2	80.0%	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%	\$1,172.00	74
10	Cleveland 541	11	33.3%	100.0%	45.5%	42.9	60.0%	45.5%	18.2%	45.5%	\$1,027.82	59
11	Battle Creek 515	5	33.3%	100.0%	40.0%	73.0	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	\$701.80	63
11	Danville 550	3	50.0%	33.3%	33.3%	32.3	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	\$659.00	58
12	North Chicago 556	0	0.0%									
12	Tomah 676	2	9.1%	100.0%	100.0%	90.0	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	\$2,419.00	59
12	Milwaukee 695	1	50.0%	0.0%	100.0%	90.0	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	\$600.00	60
15	Kansas City 589	0	0.0%									
15	Topeka 589A5	8	72.7%	62.5%	75.0%	71.3	100.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	\$1,078.75	63
16	Little Rock 598	0	0.0%									
16	Oklahoma City 635	10	41.7%	70.0%	80.0%	74.1	70.0%	70.0%	0.0%	70.0%	\$1,603.00	61
17	Dallas 549	9	60.0%	44.4%	44.4%	51.7	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	11.1%	\$435.63	54
17	Bonham 549A4	0	0.0%									
20	American Lake 663A4	18	50.0%	77.8%	55.6%	61.1	44.4%	33.3%	22.2%	33.3%	\$1,049.44	62
21	Palo Alto 640	7	50.0%	57.1%	85.7%	86.3	85.7%	42.9%	0.0%	42.9%	\$1,908.29	72
21	San Francisco 662	1	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	90.0	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	\$2,000.00	75
23	Ft. Meade 568	8	66.7%	62.5%	62.5%	57.1	62.5%	25.0%	0.0%	25.0%	\$1,063.38	54
23	Hot Springs 568A4	10	38.5%	40.0%	50.0%	69.5	30.0%	20.0%	10.0%	20.0%	\$752.40	66
<b>Total</b>		<b>173</b>										
<b>Veteran Average (for GAF)</b>												<b>61</b>
<b>Site Average</b>		<b>5.4</b>	<b>31.8%</b>	<b>72.1%</b>	<b>69.7%</b>	<b>70.7</b>	<b>62.1%</b>	<b>41.4%</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>45.0%</b>	<b>\$1,163.58</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>		<b>5.2</b>	<b>26.1%</b>	<b>25.9%</b>	<b>27.4%</b>	<b>18.2</b>	<b>28.5%</b>	<b>29.2%</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>29.3%</b>	<b>\$527.75</b>	<b>7</b>

†Housed past 90 days is defined as being either in own apartment, room, or house; someone else's apt., room or house; or a hotel, SRO, or boarding home for at least 90 days.

**June 16, 2006**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**Table 6. Three-Month Follow-Up Rates by Fiscal**

VISN	SITE	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00	FY01	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05†	FY06†
1	Bedford 518		70.4%	58.5%	28.1%	22.5%	35.2%	31.5%	36.8%	27.6%	39.4%	28.8%	22.7%
1	Boston 523	100.0%	100.0%	96.2%	100.0%	88.2%	100.0%	100.0%	85.7%	82.4%	84.2%	75.0%	81.3%
1	Boston 523W						100.0%	57.1%	42.9%	75.0%	100.0%	55.6%	50.0%
1	Northampton 631	69.4%	57.1%	29.4%	96.3%	95.7%	28.1%	41.7%	38.1%	43.5%	27.3%	35.3%	0.0%
2	Albany 528A8	100.0%	89.5%	76.9%	72.7%	70.8%	40.0%	26.9%	11.1%	57.1%	92.3%	100.0%	80.0%
3	Lyons 561A4						11.1%	25.0%	50.0%	68.8%	47.1%	72.7%	50.0%
4	Butler 529												33.3%
4	Lebanon 595		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	95.8%	11.1%	30.0%	26.7%	38.9%	11.1%	66.7%	25.0%
4	Pittsburgh 646A5	71.4%	56.3%	50.0%	76.9%	57.7%	72.7%	54.6%	52.4%	77.8%	84.2%	82.4%	33.3%
5	Perry Point 512A5										8.0%	48.5%	0.0%
6	Hampton 590	81.1%	87.5%	63.8%	62.3%	57.1%	48.7%	40.0%	38.9%	51.3%	16.7%	29.3%	16.0%
7	Atlanta 508		75.0%	66.7%	66.7%	46.7%	55.6%	26.7%	0.0%	37.5%	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%
7	Birmingham 521												0.0%
7	Tuscaloosa 679											100.0%	20.0%
8	Gainesville 573							66.7%	58.8%	52.9%	61.5%	60.0%	50.0%
10	Cleveland 541			35.7%	64.3%	46.9%	57.4%	48.0%	28.6%	65.0%	14.6%	18.5%	33.3%
11	Battle Creek 515	77.3%	90.3%	96.4%	94.3%	90.0%	87.5%	17.7%	6.3%	5.6%	34.8%	13.6%	33.3%
11	Danville 550								63.6%	54.5%	72.7%	80.0%	50.0%
12	North Chicago 556	84.4%	92.0%	94.6%	74.2%	52.9%	36.4%	36.7%	41.4%	55.6%	26.9%	15.8%	0.0%
12	Tomah 676						0.0%	6.7%	26.3%	30.0%	47.1%	46.7%	9.1%
12	Milwaukee 695								66.7%	100.0%	100.0%	60.0%	50.0%
15	Kansas City 589	100.0%	35.3%	40.4%	40.9%	33.3%	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	41.2%	0.0%	12.0%	0.0%
15	Topeka 589A5	64.9%	97.1%	60.5%	36.8%	47.4%	50.0%	75.0%	20.0%	76.2%	75.0%	87.5%	72.7%
16	Little Rock 598	78.6%	77.8%	58.5%	61.7%	24.5%	27.8%	30.6%	4.3%	28.2%	12.0%	38.6%	0.0%
16	Oklahoma City 635	91.9%	36.7%	62.5%	66.7%	18.5%	26.1%	59.3%	57.9%	54.1%	65.1%	69.2%	41.7%
17	Dallas 549	76.5%	65.2%	75.9%	93.3%	92.3%	57.9%	44.4%	75.0%	88.9%	76.0%	94.7%	60.0%
17	Bonham 549A4												0.0%
20	American Lake 663A4	86.3%	63.3%	26.3%	0.0%	33.3%	22.7%	36.7%	41.2%	60.0%	68.0%	70.0%	50.0%
21	Palo Alto 640	75.0%	66.7%	44.4%	0.0%	50.0%	33.3%	68.4%	80.0%	65.0%	72.7%	87.5%	50.0%
21	San Francisco 662	50.0%	100.0%	90.0%	85.0%	83.3%	52.2%	50.0%	37.5%	75.0%	71.4%	54.5%	0.0%
23	Ft. Meade 568	70.0%	33.3%	53.3%	47.1%	83.3%	84.6%	30.8%	83.3%	50.0%	52.2%	52.6%	66.7%
23	Hot Springs 568A4										100.0%	62.5%	38.5%
<b>Site Average</b>		<b>79.8%</b>	<b>73.3%</b>	<b>64.0%</b>	<b>63.4%</b>	<b>59.5%</b>	<b>45.5%</b>	<b>41.8%</b>	<b>41.3%</b>	<b>56.2%</b>	<b>52.7%</b>	<b>55.8%</b>	<b>31.8%</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>		<b>13.8%</b>	<b>22.3%</b>	<b>23.0%</b>	<b>30.1%</b>	<b>26.1%</b>	<b>28.8%</b>	<b>22.3%</b>	<b>25.5%</b>	<b>21.5%</b>	<b>31.7%</b>	<b>28.1%</b>	<b>26.1%</b>

†Data for fiscal years 1989-1994 have been omitted due to space constraints.

†† The percents for FY05 and FY06 under-represent 3-month follow-up rates as NEPEC is still expecting more data from sites.

†††Knoxville's data has been deleted since their program closed in 1997.